July 12, 2011

U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Members of Congress:

We write to request that you vote against the White House proposal to extend the term of FBI Director Robert S. Mueller, III. The Senate Judiciary Committee has approved legislation to implement the proposal, but did so without adequate process and without meaningfully addressing any of the numerous outstanding oversight issues and constitutional abuses for which the Bureau continues to evade accountability.¹

Under Director Mueller’s leadership, the FBI has frequently violated the rights of diverse law-abiding Americans,² abused its investigative powers,³ failed to abide by its own guidelines,⁴ arbitrarily revised

¹ George Zornick, Robert Mueller’s Questionable Extension as FBI Director, The Nation (June 14, 2011) (“Mueller—who had received largely softball questions and lengthy praise to that point [in a June 2011 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing]—became visibly uncomfortable when [Senator Al] Franken...[said] that ‘your department has been heavily criticized over the last ten years for significant misuse of the department’s surveillance powers and for other major civil liberties violations.’...These serious abuses—and Mueller’s resistance to admitting they even occurred—apparently only bothered Franken....”).


those guidelines to permit longstanding abuses even in the face of congressional concerns,\(^5\) and avoided public accountability by cloaking its actions in secrecy—all while actively (and demonstrably) misleading federal courts,\(^6\) Congress\(^7\) and the American people.\(^8\)

These abuses are gravely serious, have impacted millions of law-abiding Americans, and should not be ratified by congressional acquiescence. Because further abuses have been committed not just by the FBI, but also other intelligence agencies, a “race to the bottom dynamic” has emerged among them: the FBI has abused the First Amendment by investigating the political activities and associations of peace, labor and immigrant rights activists around the country, the Fourth Amendment by collecting reams of information about untold numbers of law-abiding Americans without any individualized suspicion of crime, and both the First and Fourth Amendment through unchecked secret undercover infiltration and surveillance of faith institutions\(^9\); the NSA has discarded any pretense of Fourth Amendment compliance by wiretapping Americans en masse, without even acknowledging the importance for individualized

---

\(^1\) See supra notes 2-3 and accompanying text.


\(^3\) See Islamic Shura Council of Southern Calif v. FBI, Civil Action No. SACV07-1088-CJC(ANx) at 2-3 (C.D.C.A. 2011) (“The Government represented to the Court...that it had searched its databases and found only a limited number of documents responsive to Plaintiff’s FOIA request....The Government’s representations were then, and remain today, blatantly false....The Government cannot, under any circumstance, affirmatively mislead the court.”).


\(^5\) See Center for Constitutional Rights et al., New Documents Reveal Behind-the-Scenes FBI Role in Controversial Secure Communities Deportation Program, (July 6, 2011) available at http://uncoverthetruth.org/featured/new-documents-reveal-behind-the-scenes-fbi-role-in-controversial-secure-communities-deportation-program-pr/ (“Documents ...show that the controversial Secure Communities deportation program...is also a key component of a little-known FBI project to accumulate a massive store of personal biometric information on citizens and non-citizens alike.”); Simone Wilson, FBI Documents Reveal ICE’s ‘Secure Communities’ Program Was Mandated to Further FBI’s Own Creepy Biometric Database, LA Weekly (July 6, 2011) available at http://blogs.lawweekly.com/informer/2011/07/fbi_documents_ice_secure_communities_program_mandated_bio metric_database.php.
suspicion;\(^{10}\) and the CIA was recently revealed to have conducted political surveillance and intimidation of a respected dissident and journalist\(^ {11}\)—recalling the COINTELPRO era abuses for which Congress limited the FBI director’s term in the first place.\(^ {12}\) Put simply, the Bureau’s many violations have occurred in a broader context, and the whole is unfortunately even worse than the sum of its parts.\(^ {13}\)

Moreover, the director’s post—and the future ability of Congress to restore checks and balances undermined by executive aggrandizement and secrecy—are concerns too important to be sacrificed for political considerations. Constitutional rights are not partisan issues. Indeed, administrations from both major parties, and congressional leaders from both sides of the partisan aisle, have repeatedly entrenched executive power despite a rising tide of violations by our nation’s intelligence agencies. Even FBI veterans have called for long overdue oversight and opposed the extension of the director’s term.\(^ {14}\)

Accordingly, we urge you to defend Congress’ institutional authority and check and balance the executive branch,\(^ {15}\) by voting against the White House proposal to extend the FBI director’s term. Should you ultimately choose to allow the extension even in the face of unanswered concerns about mounting civil liberties violations, we implore you to insist that the administration immediately nominate an Inspector General to monitor the Department of Justice. The IG’s office has remained empty for five months, despite its crucial role—which has grown even more critical given Congress’ repeated failures to conduct effective oversight or impose accountability for repeated violations.

\(^{10}\) See Eric Lichtblau & James Risen, Officials Say U.S. Wiretaps Exceeded Law, N.Y. Times (April 15, 2009) (“The National Security Agency intercepted private e-mail messages and phone calls of Americans in recent months on a scale that went beyond the broad legal limits established by Congress last year, government officials said in recent interviews); Glenn Greenwald, New FISA Law Enabled Massive Abuses (April 16, 2009) (“‘spying abuses are ‘significant and systemic’; involve improper interception of ‘significant amounts’ of the emails and telephone calls of Americans, including purely domestic communications; and...the NSA tried to eavesdrop with no warrants on a member of Congress....”) available at http://www.salon.com/news/opinion/glenn_greenwald/2009/04/16/nsa.


\(^{12}\) See U.S. Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities, Intelligence Activities and the Rights of Americans (April 26, 1976) (exhaustively documenting a series of programs involving the FBI and other agencies that amounted to “a sophisticated vigilante operation aimed squarely at preventing the exercise of First Amendment rights of speech and association”).

\(^{13}\) See Dana Priest & William Arkin, Monitoring America, Washington Post (Dec. 20, 2010); Priest & Arkin, National Security Inc., Washington Post (July 20, 2010); Priest & Arkin, The Secrets Next Door, Washington Post (July 21, 2010) (documenting the entrenchment of executive secrecy pervading national security and intelligence agencies including the FBI, and “a new level of government scrutiny” of even lawful activities driven by “[t]he government’s goal...to have every state and local law enforcement agency in the country feed information to Washington to buttress...the FBI...”); see also supra footnotes 4-8.

\(^{14}\) See Coleen Rowley and Philip Leggiere, Let the Patriot Act die, Washington Times (April 25, 2011) (quoting a 24-year veteran FBI Special Agent and division legal counsel: “A wide-ranging congressional investigation of the sort conducted by the Church Committee is long overdue.”); Jerry Markon, Some FBI agents are angered by plan to extend tenure of Director Robert Mueller, Washington Post (May 19, 2011) (reporting on “accusations of hypocrisy” by “some agents, who say [Director] Mueller imposed term limits on hundreds of supervisors in the agency but is failing to abide by legal limits set on his own tenure.”).

\(^{15}\) See, e.g., Charlie Savage & Mark Landler, White House Defends Continuing U.S. Role in Libya Operation, N.Y. Times (June 15, 2011) (“The escalating confrontation with Congress reflects the radically altered political landscape in Washington: a Democratic president asserting sweeping executive powers...while Republicans call for stricter oversight and voice fears about executive-branch power....”); see also Zornick, supra note 1 (“If the Senate is serious about providing a check on executive power, this would be a nomination to question.”).
For more information about the concerns raised in this letter, please contact Shahid Buttar from the Bill of Rights Defense Committee at shahid@bordc.org or 413-582-0110.
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